

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/512,581	SOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642

All Participants:

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Gregory Sieczkiewicz, Ph.D..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 October 2004

Time: 3:30 P.M.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5 and 68

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Dr. Sieczkiewicz to discuss the merit of the amendment and declaration filed August 16, 2004 and to propose an examiner's amendment to claims 5 and 68 to place this application in condition for allowance. The Examiner also discussed amending the specification to correct typographical errors. Dr. Sieczkiewicz authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment..